

**PTAB BAR**  
ASSOCIATION™  
ptabbar.org

# PTAB Bar Association

---

## ***The Loper v. Raimondo Decision*** **A Survey Of Perspectives**

Appeals From the PTAB Committee  
July 30, 2024

**PTAB**  
Bar Association



Theo Foster  
Partner

**HAYNES BOONE**



Patrick Maloney  
Senior Associate

ARMOND WILSON<sup>LLP</sup>

## *Loper* and *Chevron* At-A-Glance

### *Chevron* Deference

- Step 1: Did Congress clearly express intent on the precise issue?
- Step 2: Was agency's interpretation a "permissible construction"?
- Step 0: Did Congress delegate authority to make rules carrying the force of law? (*U.S. v. Mead*)

### *Loper v. Raimondo*

- Expressly overruled *Chevron*
- Prior *Chevron* holdings subject to *stare decisis*
- Cites *Cuozzo v. Lee* as Court's last application of *Chevron*

## *After Chevron: Expect Limited Changes In USPTO Rulemaking*

**Andrei Iancu** and Cooper Godfrey - Sullivan & Cromwell

“limited consequences for the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, given the USPTO's unique statutory features.”

- Patent code (§2(b)(2)(A)) did not give USPTO substantive rulemaking authority
- AIA granted additional authority for IPR
- Limited prior application of *Chevron* to USPTO

“Rulemakings that purport to alter or interfere with the patent code ... will not be entitled to deference.”

- No *Chevron* for terminal disclaimer proposal



## *Chevron's End May Put Target On ITC And Patent Office Policy*

Ryan Davis - Law360

“USPTO's legal interpretations have usually not been expressly given Chevron deference,” but *Loper* could “embolden[]” challenges.

### Precedential Opinions

- Unclear what amount of deference was due under *Chevron*
- ““now a PTAB precedential decision is worth no more than any other brief,’ said David Boundy of Potomac Law Group PLLC”

### Claim Construction Standard

- *Loper's* citation of *Cuozzo* indicates that a return to BRI is unlikely - Nicholas Matich, Former Acting USPTO General Counsel

*U.S. Supreme Court Administrative Law Decisions Raise Questions for U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Proceedings*

Richard Torczon, Michael T. Rosato, Matthew A. Argenti, and Jad Mills - WSGR

USPTO has not heavily relied on *Chevron*

USPTO has limited substantive rulemaking authority

“the Federal Circuit has always had its own expertise and mandate regarding patent law interpretation, and consequently little need to defer to USPTO on substantive patent (or trademark) law”

## *With Elimination of Chevron Deference, Challenges to Post Grant Procedures Likely to Follow*

Andrew J. Koopman and Christopher H. Blaszkowski - Buchanan

“The coming months will undoubtedly see a number of challenges to USPTO rulemaking authority, with post-grant practice, as usual, in the crosshairs.”

### Potential Petitioner Challenges

- Claim Construction standard – *Cuozzo* redo
- 325(d) discretionary limits

### Potential Patent Owner Challenges

- Motions to amend - “one substitute claim” presumption v. 316(d)

## *A few initial thoughts on Loper and the end of Chevron Deference*

Dennis Crouch - University of Missouri

*Loper's* impact “will be relatively minor in the patent area”

Case to watch: *United Therapeutics v. Liquidia*

- Pending petition for certiorari
- What is the appropriate deference for determination on new arguments?



## ITC Challenge *Suprema*-ly Likely

*Suprema v. ITC* (Fed. Cir. 2015) – ITC & induced infringement

*Loper* said prior *Chevron* holdings  
subject to *stare decisis*

Issue already raised in  
*Sonos/Google* case

## Questions on *Loper's* Broader Impact

What replaces *Chevron*? Maybe *Skidmore*?  
-Patrick J. Sobkowski, Marquette University

Did *Loper* open the  
“litigation floodgates”?  
-Christopher J. Walker,  
University of Michigan

Will there be a “negative deference” for  
changing interpretations?  
-Daniel Deacon, University of Michigan

**PTAB**  
Bar Association

## *Are you a member of the PTAB Bar Association?*

**We are an association for everyone who practices before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.**

- Exclusive and wide-ranging member benefits
- Members are connected, engaged, and informed
- Unique networking opportunities with PTAB judges
- Only Association focused exclusively on practice before the PTAB
- Dedicated on growing diversity within our Association in all ways

Learn more about this growing and dynamic Bar Association

